

03 DECEMBER 2018

Put more carbon in soils to meet Paris climate pledges

Take these eight steps to make soils more resilient to drought, produce more food and store emissions, urge Cornelia Rumpel and colleagues.

**Cornelia Rumpel,
Farshad Amiraslani,
Lydie-Stella Koutika,
Pete Smith,
David Whitehead &
Eva Wollenberg**



Air pollution in Sumatra in 2013, where peatlands were burned to clear land for a palm-oil plantation. Credit: Ulet Infansasti/Greenpeace

Soils are crucial to managing climate change. They contain two to three times more carbon than the atmosphere. Plants circulate carbon dioxide from the air to soils, and consume about one-third of the CO₂ that humans produce. Of that, about 10–15% ends up in the earth.

Carbon is also essential for soil fertility and agriculture. Decomposing plants, bacteria, fungi and soil fauna, such as earthworms, release organic matter and nutrients for plant growth, including nitrogen and phosphorus. This gives structure to soil, making it resilient to erosion and able to hold water. Typically, organic matter accounts for a few per

cent of the mass of soil near the surface.

Increasing the carbon content of the world's soils by just a few parts per thousand (0.4%) each year would remove an amount of CO₂ from the atmosphere equivalent to the fossil-fuel emissions of the European Union¹ (around 3–4 gigatonnes (Gt)). It would also boost soil health: in studies across Africa, Asia and Latin America, increasing soil carbon by 0.4% each year enhanced crop yields by 1.3% (ref. 2).

Yet one-third of the world's soils are degraded³. Poor farming practices, industry and urbanization take their toll. Throughout human history, 133 Gt of carbon have been lost from soils, adding almost 500 Gt of CO₂ to the atmosphere⁴. As the amount of organic matter dwindles, soils face mounting damage from erosion, heatwaves and droughts — it is a vicious circle. In the worst cases, nothing can be grown. This is what happened in the 1930s 'dust bowl' in the central southern United States.

Improving soil carbon is now high on the political agenda. In 2015 at the Paris climate summit, France launched the 4p1000 initiative — to promote research and actions globally to increase soil carbon stocks by 4 parts per 1,000 per year. We are members of the scientific and technical committee for this initiative.

In November 2017 at the Bonn climate conference in Germany, delegates established the Koronivia Joint Work

on Agriculture programme. Tasked with helping farmers to reduce emissions and maintain food security in a changing climate, it will hold its first workshop this week at the annual summit of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in Katowice, Poland.

We call on countries involved in the Koronivia process to establish a body to monitor soil carbon in farmland, map changes to it and reclaim degraded areas. All involved should focus on the eight steps set out below.

Eight steps

The following practices would increase the amount of carbon held globally in soil:

Stop carbon loss. Protecting peatlands is the first priority for keeping existing carbon in the ground. These hold between 32% and 46% of all soil carbon (an estimated 500–700 Gt of approximately 1500 Gt) in an area about half the size of Brazil. Each year they take up about 1% of the global CO₂ emissions generated by humans⁵.

Yet 10–20% of peatlands have been drained or burned and converted to agriculture, particularly in tropical areas. For example, fires used to clear land in maritime southeast Asia blanketed much of Indonesia in a toxic yellow haze during September and October 2015, emitting more CO₂ per day than the whole of the European Union. Globally, such

destruction is using up 1–2 Gt CO₂ per year of the remaining emissions budget necessary to stay within the Paris climate targets. To protect this resource, governments must ban burning of peatlands, stop their use in agriculture, or plan and enforce practices that preserve peat through continuous wet conditions.

Degraded mineral soils also need to be restored by controlling grazing, applying green manure or growing cover crops. Between 10 million and 60 million square kilometres of soils are degraded — up to 40% of the world's land area⁶. Restored, these could take up 9–19% of global CO₂ emissions for 25–50 years, at rates of 3–7 Gt of CO₂ per year.

One global effort is making a start. The Bonn Challenge aims to improve 1.5 million km² of degraded and deforested land by 2020 (and 3.5 million km² by 2030) through conservation, recovery and sustainable management of forests and other ecosystems. It is overseen by the Global Partnership on Forest and Landscape Restoration, and is run by the International Union for Conservation of Nature.

Promote carbon uptake. Researchers need to establish a set of best practices for getting more carbon into soil. Proven techniques include making sure the soil is planted all year round, adding crop residues such as mulch and straw or compost, and minimizing tillage practices such as ploughing. In areas at high risk of erosion, contour farming

and terracing should be implemented. Agroforestry systems, hedges and wetlands can increase biodiversity and soil carbon. Planting nitrogen-fixing plants such as beans, alfalfa and oilseed rape reduces the need for mineral fertilizers, which can release nitrous oxide, a greenhouse gas that is around 300 times more potent than CO₂ (ref. 7).

Soils need regular inputs of organic matter. Competing demands for crop residues (also used as fodder) or dung (also used in cooking or heating) can limit what is available. Shortages of other soil nutrients might reduce the capacity of plants to produce enough organic matter to restore all soils.

Regional strategies for increasing soil carbon need to be developed, taking into account local soil types, climates, rates of climate change and socioeconomic contexts. These will favour particular plant species and restrict certain practices. For example, burning stubble or straw for land clearance should be prevented in Asia and South America. Similarly, slash and burn of tropical forests should be avoided in Africa. In Europe, reducing mineral fertilizers and implementing agroecological practices would be effective.



Researchers take samples to measure the soil's bulk density from a plot on an organic farm. Credit: Patrice Latron/Eurelios/Look at Sciences/SPL

Monitor, report and verify impacts. Researchers and land managers need to track and evaluate interventions. Large-scale, long-term frequent monitoring is costly. It involves extensive field surveys that collect hundreds of samples per hectare, with laboratory analyses costing up to US\$10 per sample. And to yield sufficient georeferenced data to capture small changes in soil organic carbon over time, it must continue for at least 10 years. Obtaining access to private land is one challenge. Another is a lack of technical expertise and knowledge, especially in developing countries.

The Global Soil Laboratory Network (GLOSOLAN) is working to improve matters by harmonizing protocols and

standards and setting up global training programmes in soil analysis. GLOSOLAN is part of the Global Soil Partnership run by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Deploy technology. Advanced instruments make soil measurements cheaper, faster and more accurate. Portable infrared spectrometers will soon be capable of tracking multiple chemical signatures in soil, including carbon, for less than \$1 per sample. Harmonized methodologies, verification standards and common guidelines will be needed for all these devices. Satellite imagery is also essential for scanning wide areas. Researchers should design automatic procedures and algorithms for assessing soil carbon content from space, or for predicting it from the characteristics of vegetation. These techniques should work whether soils are wet or dry, and for surfaces that are rough or smooth. They will require rigorous, ground-based verification.

Test strategies. Computer models and a network of field sites⁸ need to be developed to test the effectiveness of, say, avoiding ploughing. Farms should report their actions, verified by spot checks, field surveys or remote sensing. Data on soil types and meteorological variables will also need to be collected. Some open research databases exist: the Integrated Carbon Observation System measures exchanges of greenhouse gases across 120 experimental sites in Europe, for instance. But soil data need to be more

transparent and accessible.

Involve communities. The public should be made more aware of the importance of soil organic carbon and of their ability to improve it on farms, in private gardens and public areas. Citizen-science approaches to collecting data, which are widely used in urban planning, for example, should be extended to soils. A good example is the earthworm population survey conducted by farmers across 1,300 hectares in the United Kingdom, which helped to assess farmland biodiversity (see also [J. L. Stroud *Nature* 562, 344; 2018](#)).

A global, open, online platform to collect and share soil carbon data needs to be established. It could be based on GlobalSoilMap, which was set up by scientists in 2009. Basing it on a widely used technology, such as a geographic information system (GIS), would broaden its reach and reduce the need for training. Such open platforms will be important in developing countries, where access to resources is limited.

Coordinate policies. Political frameworks covering soils and climate change should work together. These include parties involved in SDG15 — the UN Sustainable Development Goal that seeks to halt and reverse land degradation by 2030 — and the UN Convention to Combat Desertification, which has targets and funding for stopping land degradation and managing land sustainably. Scientists should help countries to integrate soil carbon goals in their

pledged emissions cuts to the Paris agreement. And the Koronivia programme should develop complementary targets for storing soil carbon.

Targets and policies will be needed to reform agricultural practices worldwide, which will take decades. Farmers will need incentives to change their methods. Financial compensation could be given to cover costs and risks, for example. Researchers need a better understanding of geographical priorities, such as hotspots that combine harsh climates and vulnerable populations.

Provide support. Policymakers should include soil carbon in emissions-trading schemes and carbon taxes. This will be harder than schemes for CO₂ because soil carbon is transient, unevenly distributed and harder to measure. Crop insurance and other services can offer premiums to farmers who have improved soil carbon. Carbon credits or discounts could be given for lands that are at risk of soil-carbon loss⁹.

Some governments have begun to act. India has distributed soil-health cards to 100 million farmers. These explain how to test soil for nutrients and choose fertilizers. China has banned agricultural fires and subsidizes farmers who return residues to fields¹⁰. The United States compensates farmers who remove cropland from production and increase areas of carbon-rich grasslands.

Development banks and investors should create global

investment funds to support practices that improve soil carbon. These could be similar to the Moringa fund, which targets agroforestry projects in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa.

What next?

First, researchers, policymakers and land managers need to recognize that increasing soil carbon stocks and protecting carbon-rich soils is crucial for achieving the Paris climate targets and SDGs. Policy-focused organizations should convene a joint forum to coordinate action. This could be hosted by the 4p1000 initiative. Neighbouring countries should exchange experiences, develop common management strategies and make joint decisions on climate-change mitigation, adaptation and land degradation.

Second, international funding agencies should set up a pool of several million dollars to address urgent research gaps, such as those identified by the 4p1000 initiative. These include: estimating the potential for soil carbon storage; developing targets and management practices; designing monitoring, reporting and verification strategies; and understanding basic soil-plant processes.

As the Koronivia summit begins, governments must pledge funds to bring together soil experts, donors and policymakers to act on soil carbon storage.

Nature **564**, 32-34 (2018)

doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-07587-4

References

. 1.

Chabbi, A. *et al.* *Nature Clim. Change* **7**, 307–309 (2017).

[Article](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

. 2.

Soussana, J.-F. *et al.* *Soil Tillage Res.* [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.12.002)

[10.1016/j.still.2017.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.12.002) (2017).

[Article](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

. 3.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United

Nations and Intergovernmental Technical Panel on

Soils. *Status of the World's Soil Resources — Main*

Report (FAO & ITPS, 2015).

. 4.

Sanderman, J., Hengl, T. & Fiske G. J. *Proc. Natl Acad.*

Sci. USA **114**, 9575–9580 (2017).

[PubMed](#)
[Article](#)
[Google Scholar](#)

. 5.

Leifeld, J. & Menichetti, L. *Nature Commun.* **9**, 1071

(2018).

[PubMed](#)
[Article](#)
[Google Scholar](#)

. 6.

Gibbs, H. K. & Salmon, J. M. *Appl. Geogr.* **57**, 12–21

(2015).

[Article](#)
[Google Scholar](#)

. 7.

IPCC. *Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.*

Volume 4: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land

Use (IPCC, 2006).

. 8.

Smith, P. *et al.* *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 2089–2101 (2012).

[Article](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

. 9.

Thamo, T. & Pannell, D. *J. Clim. Pol.* **16**, 973–992 (2016).

[Article](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

. 10.

Zhao, Y. *et al.* *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 4045–4050

(2018).

[PubMed](#)

[Article](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

[Download references](#)

[show more](#)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Supplementary list of co-signatories